
JUNE 27, 2013 BID LETTING

201 - KBP - RESERVE LOOP TO US 93

-1-

Addendum:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 15:50 MDT

An Addendum has been posted for this project. Please click on the following link to access the information:

[ADDENDUM](#) To download the addendum bid file, click here: [BID FILES](#)

-1-

Clarification:

Submitted: Mon, 17-Jun-2013 15:45 MDT

Revised Plan Sheets (1, 2, 4, 20, 23-25, 35, 36a & 65) [PLAN SHEETS](#) & Cross Sections (601-618) [CROSS SECTIONS](#) are linked here and supersede the advertised plans and cross sections.

KBP - Reserve Loop to US 93 will take advantage of a large amount of excess excavation on the adjacent and future construction project KBP - Three Mile Drive to Reserve Loop to balance the project grading. The grading work shown on the revised plans and cross sections will take place from Bypass R.P. 6.5 to R.P. 7.0 and includes two major items; excavation to future subgrade of 470 meters of the future Bypass roadway and construction of three detention ponds, south of Reserve Loop. Work items will include; grading, topsoil salvage and replacement, seeding & fertilizer, chain link fence and gates (surrounding the detention ponds), and drainage pipe installation. The affected quantities will be changed by addendum.

-2-

Clarification:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 13:20 MDT

Updated: Fri, 21-Jun-2013 12:45 MDT

Special Provision #30 - Surface Test- Profilograph is hereby revised as follows:

~~In the first sentence, the subsection number is 501.03. 14 A instead of 501.03.14 B.~~

the subsection number is 501.03. 14 B

-3-

Clarification:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 13:40 MDT

The linked Special Provision for Borrow Source Approval-Soil Classification is hereby added to this contract.

BORROW SOURCE APPROVAL-SOIL CLASSIFICATION

-4-

Clarification:

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 09:24 MDT

Updated: Wed, 26-Jun-2013 15:33 MDT

The linked maps are hereby added to this Contract.

STATE MAP

QUAD MAP

REVISED QUAD MAP

-1-

Submitted: Mon, 17-Jun-2013 08:43 MDT

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Under Special Provision 5, "Traffic Control Plan & Sequence of Operations", part "C. Measurement and

Payment", the very last statement says "All traffic control costs will be included in the lump sum bid for

Traffic Control."? This job only has a bid item for units. Would the State please explain / clarify this

statement as to whether it is incorrect or if there is to be another item added for Traffic Control.

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 12 :05 MDT

Delete part C. of Special Provision 5. Traffic Control Plan & Sequence of Operations and replace with:

C. Measurement and Payment. All traffic control costs associated with this provision will be measured

and paid for at the unit price bid for Traffic Control Devices CB.

-2-

Submitted: Mon, 17-Jun-2013 08:52 MDT

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

In Special Provision 25, "BPA Provided Safety Watcher", it is stated that the contractor must "Employ a BPA

approved safety watcher" while working under their wires with certain equipment height restrictions listed "and

also as required by the MDT project manager." How will the contractor be compensated for BPA safety watcher

charges if it is "required by the MDT project manager" and does not exceed the listed equipment height restrictions?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 14:46 MDT

If the EPM requires a BPA provided Safety Watcher for reasons other than those stated in the Special Provision,

the time will be measured and paid on a Force Account basis.

-3-

Submitted: Wed, 19-Jun-2013 11:24 MDT

Company: Northwest Pipe Fittings

Contact: John Rippe

Question:

Please clarify. Looking for Subsection 709.01.2. Not found in Standard Provision.

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 19-Jun-2013 14:05 MDT

Subsection 709.01.2, Steel Water Pipe, is found in the Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.

-4-

Submitted: Wed, 19-Jun-2013 13:33 MDT

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Special Provision 45 - Edge Drains states "Wrap perforated pipe in geotextile and place along the downhill side..."

Will it be acceptable to utilize a 4"corrugated HDPE pipe that has a sock wrap installed from the manufacturer in lieu of wrapping fabric around the pipe?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 25-Jun-2013 9:08 MDT

A pre-wrapped pipe is acceptable providing the following requirements are met. Pipe - AASHTO M 252 - Type-SP, PS50 with perforations that meet AASHTO M 252 Class 2. Wrap - Subsurface Drainage Geotextile Filter, Class b, High Survivability - whether pre-wrapped or wrapped separately.

-5-

Submitted: Wed, 19-Jun-2013 21:50 MDT

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Concerning the "Concrete Barrier Rail Foundation Pad" shown on "Detail" Sheet 28, is the CAC and Asphalt shown under the concrete barrier incidental to this item or is it included in other quantities elsewhere?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 12 :07 MDT

The quantities for Crushed Aggregate Course and Plant Mix Surfacing under the Barrier Rail are covered in the Additional Surfacing Frame.

-6-

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 09:08 MDT

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

With the changes to the onsite excavation quantities and additional excavation coming from the future ponds will MDT be issuing a new mass diagram as well as a revised dirt run?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 21-Feb-2013 12:10 MDT

The mass diagram and the dirt runs can be found at the following links:

[NEW FULL SET OF DIRT RUNS](#)

[NEW DIRT RUNS SEPARATED](#)

[NEW MASS DIAGRAM](#)

This information is provided for informational purposes only.

-7-

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 09:57 MDT

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

What items of work are included and paid for under item 800 310 010

Miscellaneous Work-Each?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 11:22 MDT

See Plan Sheet 22 - Orifice Plate and corresponding note identifying the Miscellaneous Work - Each bid item.

There is also a detail of the Orifice Plate on Plan Sheet 35.

-8-

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 11:52 MDT

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

The Fire Hydrant Relocation detail on Sheet 28 shows the relocation and reuse of the existing 152 mm valve.

Is this existing valve a flanged valve that can be bolted directly onto the new tapping sleeve?

Will this existing valve work as a tapping valve?

If this valve is removed, the mainline will have to be shut down in order to install a cap on the hydrant lead.

It would seem that the valve should be shut-off, abandoned in place, and a new tapping valve supplied for the new location. This would eliminate shutting down the existing main, as the main will not have to be turned off for the new tap.

Will the City of Kalispell be responsible for tapping the main line?

Who is responsible for the costs of tapping the main?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 25-Jun-2013 14:14 MDT

Shut down water main and Remove existing 152 mm valve at Sta. 104+57.47, 26.67 m RT. Cap existing

hydrant lead utilizing new blind flange. Notify the Project Manager and the City of Kalispell Public Works

Department (406-758-7720) 48 hours prior to shut down. City Public Works

Staff will operate City water

main valves. Complete all testing and acceptance requirements of the City of Kalispell and the MPWSS.

Provide new 150 mm Tapping Valve at sta. 104+52.88, 27.64 m RT.

The City will complete water main taps. Prepare the excavation for the City's tapping machine and provide a backhoe and compressor for use in making the taps and pressure testing. There will be no tapping fee charged to the Contractor by the City if proper coordination and preparation is completed by the Contractor. Provide other materials and labor necessary to complete this item of work.

Include existing valve removal, new blind flange and new 150 mm Tapping Valve in the unit bid price for "Reset Fire Hydrant".

-9-

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 13:51 MDT

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Follow up to question 5. Please clarify which item this falls under in the "Additional Surfacing" frame since there is no mention of "Concrete Barrier Rail Foundation Pad" in either the "For" or "Remarks" columns of the "Additional Surfacing" frame sheet.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 21-Jun-2013 10:55 MDT

Concrete Barrier Rail is listed under the Guardrail Frame. The quantities for the foundation are shown as Guardrail Foundation in the Additional Surfacing Frame.

FROM TO FOR PMS CAC

120+94.48 122+23.64

KBP Guardrail Foundation LT

12

13

105+18.53 105+78.74

US 93 Guardrail Foundation LT

5

6

-10-

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 17:20 MDT

Company: Pavlik Electric

Contact: John

Question:

Plan page E14 listed in the table of contents does not appear in the plans. Please advise.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 21-Jun-2013 11:10 MDT

Plan sheet E14 can be found at the following link: [ELECTRICAL PLAN SHEET E14](#)

-11-

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 07:53 MDT

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Special Provision 5.B.1).f) states "Maintain vehicular and pedestrian access to the private business located on the southeast corner of the intersection (Zip Trip) from HWY 93 and Reserve DR. at all times during construction. Coordinate access with Zip Trip from trucking operations."

Will the contractor be allowed to close the approaches for Zip Trip on West Reserve during concrete removal, grading operations, and concrete replacement for the approaches?

What is the cure time or strength requirement for concrete approaches before traffic can be placed on them?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 15:34 MDT

The requirements outlined in Special Provision 5.B.1)f) are to be followed.

There is not a specified cure time for concrete approaches and sidewalk laydowns. The concrete in these areas must have a minimum compressive strength of 3000 psi prior to opening to traffic. All PCCP must meet the requirements of Special Provision 31 prior to opening to traffic.

-12-

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 08:10 MDT

Company: Diversified Materials & Construction

Contact: Julie Petrou

Question:

Can an engineered gravity retaining wall with a wet cast facing be substituted or be used instead of MSE dry cast. And if so can the batter (8 to 1 specified) be higher (11 to 1) proposed.

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 25-Jun-2013 14:34 MDT

Updated: Wed, 26-Jun-2013 15:41 MDT

Refer to Sheet 28, note on MSE Retaining Wall Detail which allows other wall types as approved by the Project Manager.

Using this type of retaining wall would be acceptable provided the wall design meets the requirements in the plans and Special Provision 38 - Mechanically Stabilized Earth (MSE) Retaining Wall.

An 11:1 wall batter is acceptable provided the wall design meets the requirements of Special Provision 38 - Mechanically Stabilized Earth (MSE) Retaining Wall.

-13-

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 08:34 MDT

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Regarding Item # 603 815 030, Steel Casing 300 mm, some of the bore subs would like to know if this could be up-sized to a 600 mm size. They have concerns of rock that may not fit through the 300 mm. Would the State allow a larger size casing pipe?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 26-Jun-2013 13:24 MDT

A 600 mm is acceptable if it meets the contract requirements, the top of the casing remains at the planned elevation of the 300 mm casing and any additional costs associated with the change are absorbed by the contractor.

-14-

Submitted: Mon, 17-Jun-2013 08:52 MDT

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

In Special Provision 25, "BPA Provided Safety Watcher", it is stated that the contractor must "Employ a BPA approved safety watcher" while working under their wires with certain equipment height restrictions listed "and also as required by the MDT project manager." How will the contractor be compensated for BPA safety watcher charges if it is "required by the MDT project manager" and does not exceed the listed equipment height restrictions?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 25-Jun-2013 9:30 MDT

If the EPM requires a BPA provided Safety Watcher for reasons other than those stated in the Special Provision, the time will be measured and paid on a Force Account basis.

202 - BARRETT'S - DILLON

-1-

Addendum:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 15:50 MDT

An Addendum has been posted for this project. Please click on the following link to access the information:

[ADDENDUM](#) To download the addendum bid file, click here: **[BID FILES](#)**

-1-

Submitted: Wed, 19-Jun-2013 18:10 MDT
Company: Jim Gilman Excavating, Inc.
Contact: George Friez

Question:

Will MDT consider eliminating the critical path schedule item for this project? We feel this project is straightforward and does not warrant the expense for this level of scheduling.

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 13:47 MDT

The Critical Path Method bid item will be deleted by addenda.

203 - GARRISON - BECK HILL & BECK HILL - DEER LODGE

-1-

Addendum:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 15:50 MDT

An Addendum has been posted for this project. Please click on the following link to access the information:

[ADDENDUM](#) To download the addendum bid file, click here: [BID FILES](#)

-2-

Addendum:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 15:50 MDT

An Addendum has been posted for this project. Please click on the following link to access the information:

[ADDENDUM 2](#) To download the addendum bid file, click here: [BID FILES](#)

-1-

Clarification:

Submitted: Tue, 18-Jun-2013 13:34 MDT

The Contract ID of 06613 as shown throughout the Contract is incorrect. The correct Contract ID is 16613.

-1-

Submitted: Mon, 17-Jun-2013 12:02 MDT

Company: Jim Gilman Excavating, Inc.

Contact: Paul Thompson

Question:

Will MDT eliminate the critical path schedule item for this project? This is a straightforward project with no conflict between the mill & fill work and the bridge overlay work (they are in different areas of the project). This project does not appear to warrant the expense of this level of scheduling.

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 17-Jun-2013 15:44 MDT

Critical Path Method (CPM) Scheduling requirements will be rescinded by addendum.

-2-

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 11:13 MDT

Company: West Company

Contact: Mark Johnson

Question:

Re Contract Time/Flex Time Date. I am not understanding the NTP of November 16?

Is all work scheduled for 2014?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 13:52 MDT

The special provision was utilized due to the timing of project letting and to allow scheduling flexibility for interested bidders. Per the provision; the Contractor can change the Notice to Proceed date (earlier) if desired. Work could be performed on this project in 2013.

-3-

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 13:09 MDT

Company: Sletten construction

Contact: Don Charters

Question:

Bridge deck crack seal, it states you must have a manufacturer's representative on site for the duration of the work.

If you have been using the same material as on other projects and have a certificate of training from the manufacture do you need a factory representative?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 13:54 MDT

Yes, a manufacturer's representative must be on site for the duration of the work as required by Special Provision No. 16.

-4-

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 15:36 MDT

Company: Riverside Contracting, Inc.

Contact: Cale Fisher

Question:

Per Supplemental Specification 618.03.7B, Authorized median crossings may not be used unless specifically stated in the contract.

Will the Contractor be able to use authorized median crossings at approx. RP 172.4, RP 174.8, RP 186, and RP 189?

The use of these median crossings would be a savings to the state by reducing the haul distance as much as 20 miles depending on where work is in progress.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 21-Jun-2013 10:20 MDT

The existing median crossings at RP 172.4, RP 174.8, RP 186, and RP 189 may be used by the Contractor.

-5-

Submitted: Fri, 21-Jun-2013 10:58 MDT
Company: Penhall Company
Contact: Nick Belden

Question:

Should the contractor perform work within the contract that does not affect the road or bridge deck surface in 2013, would he still be responsible for traffic maintenance as described in Sec 105.05.4 of the Standard Specifications.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 21-Jun-2013 13:58 MDT

Upon clarification, the specification subsection referred to is 104.05.4 Maintenance For Traffic During Work Suspensions. Given the scope of work for this contract, MDT does not anticipate these subsection requirements being applicable.

-6-

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 06:55 MDT
Company: L & J Construction Group
Contact: Kevin Helling

Question:

1) Some of the structures have epoxy overlays. This will require rotomilling prior to hydrodemolition. Is this cost incidental to Bridge Deck Hydrodemolition ?

2) The traffic control on this project calls for temporary concrete barrier rail. Can the tapers on the concrete barrier stop short of the guard rail to allow access for equipment at both bridge ends?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 15:25 MDT

1) Yes, if the contractor chooses to scarify the deck(s) prior to hydrodemolition as allowed in Special Provision No. 20 Section F, the cost of the scarification would be included in the unit price bid for Bridge Deck Hydrodemolition.

2) The Temporary Barrier Rail for Bridge Deck Repair Detail sheets have been revised and can be found at the following link: [REVISED SHEETS](#)
Quantities for Temporary Impact Attenuator and Temporary Impact Attenuator-Reset items will be added to the contract. These changes will be made by addendum.

-7-

Submitted: Tue, 25-Jun-2013 11:54 MDT
Company: Westco
Contact: Mark Johnson

Question:

Re New Concrete Barrier, would you allow a modification to the barrier design for a 12" width at the base while maintaining the 10" width at the top.

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 26-Jun-2013 13:48 MDT
No.

204 - N OF DY JCT SLIDE REPAIR/MT 11-1

No Questions at this time.

205 - COHAGEN - NORTH & SOUTH

-1-

Addendum:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 15:50 MDT

An Addendum has been posted for this project. Please click on the following link to access the information:

[ADDENDUM](#) To download the addendum bid file, click here: [BID FILES](#)

-1-

Submitted: Fri, 31-May-2013 12:24 MDT

Company: Prince, Inc.

Contact: Mike Sharp

Question:

Would there be a possibility of eliminating the Critical Path Schedule item for this project? The project is very simple and certainly does not warrant this level of scheduling. Additionally, many of the potential bidders have not purchased the required software and it would add significant financial burden to the taxpayers unnecessarily.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 31-May-2013 15:20 MDT

The bid item for Critical Path Method will be removed by addenda.

-2-

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 08:42 MDT

Company: Nelcon, Inc.

Contact: Nelcon, Inc.

Question:

Can the contractor have the option of not using RAP for item 401020 047 and just use 100% virgin aggregate?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 26-Jun-2013 8:49 MDT

No, bid the contract as stated in the Special Provisions.

206 - BROADWAY - PARK TO MT - HELENA & LYNDAL/MT AVE - HELENA

-1-

Submitted: Fri, 21-Jun-2013 14:34 MDT

Company: Helena Sand & Gravel, Inc.

Contact: Ken Frost

Question:

This project is not very long with several cross streets (18 on Broadway) & only a 0.1' overlay on Lyndale.

These cross-streets meet the mainline at varying slopes which typically changes the mainline cross slopes at these intersections. Also, the contractor will be matching old curb & gutter, some of which is in rough shape. The speed limit on Broadway is 15 mph & 25 mph, Lyndale is 35 mph & 30 mph. There are enough variables out of the contractors control that we would request that MDT waive the ride specification on this project.

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 26-Jun-2013 09:59 MDT

The IRI pay adjustment factors of the plant mix ride specification 401.03.23 will not apply to this contract. Ride specification 401.03.23 does apply for corrective action.

207 - HAUGAN SCALE SITE

-1-

Addendum:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 15:50 MDT

An Addendum has been posted for this project. Please click on the following link to access the information:

[ADDENDUM](#) To download the addendum bid file, click here: [BID FILES](#)

Clarification:

Submitted: Mon, 17-Jun-2013 13:05 MDT

The Relocate Miscellaneous item for this project includes only the utility relocate work (saw cutting, wires, conduit, etc...) listed in Work notes 1-6 of the Electrical Site Detail Drawing (Sheet E1.5). The Pull-Boxes described in the Work notes and shown as Custom Pull-Boxes on the Pull-Box Detail (Sheet 14) will be paid for under the Pull Box-Heavy Duty item. Instead of two (2) there are actually four (4) Heavy Duty Pull-Boxes; which will require an addendum to the Schedule of items.

-1-

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 08:57 MDT

Company: NORTHERN ROCKIES AGENCY

Contact: KIT

Question:

DRAWING E1.4 has work notes 1-10 but the drawing list 13, 14 and 15 - also there is no 11 or 12 anywhere. Is something missing?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 20-Jun-2013 13:44 MDT

Numbers 11 & 12 are shown on sheet E1.5. The notes for items 13, 14 & 15 are found in the Conduit and Wire Schedule found on sheet E4.1.

-2-

Submitted: Fri, 21-Jun-2013 11:23 MDT
Company: West Company
Contact: Mark Johnson

Question:

Regarding excavation for the new scale pits, there appears to be 3.5 feet of clearance between existing pavement and new pit, but the excavation is over 8 feet deep. Excavation would have to be shored in order to maintain slopes and provide worker safety. Is this the intention? Or can additional PCCP be removed to safe slope distance? Would the additional PCCP removal and replacement be paid?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 15:30 MDT
PCCP removal up to the plan quantity will be paid. Additional PCCP removal and replacement required due to contractor operations will not be paid. It is the responsibility of the contractor to determine how to best provide a working environment that meets all worker safety requirements and allows all necessary work to be performed.

-3-

Submitted: Fri, 21-Jun-2013 17:08 MDT
Company: Pavlik Electric
Contact: Sarah Michaelson

Question:

Spec section 16700-Products B.1-4 references surge protection equipment, communication racks, server/ups rack, & other rack mounted equipment that is not shown on the plan pages. Please clarify if this equipment is required.

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 26-Jun-2013 9:34 MDT
Yes, this equipment is required. All work shown in Division 16 contract documents is included unless specifically excluded.

-4-

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 11:57 MDT
Company: Stillwater Electric, Inc.
Contact: Micheal Tikka

Question:

Will we need to provide geotechnical services as well (i.e. soil borings, lab analysis, geotechnical report)? If not, will you provide the soil properties needed for the foundation designs based on previous geotechnical investigative work that has been completed for this site (I need soil classification, soil internal friction angle, soil dry unit weight, and soil shear strength)?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 24-Jun-2013 15:11 MDT
Attached are PDF Files of the available project alignment and/or structures geotechnical report(s), geotechnical

report supplements, and geotechnical laboratory summaries. There is remaining geotechnical information that is voluminous and difficult to compile in a concise coherent manner. Contractors are welcome to come to MDT Headquarters to inspect soil and/or rock samples taken for the project that are stored here or to look through the complete set of Geotechnical field investigation records, laboratory testing, analytical, or other data in our project files. It should be noted that the project may have undergone significant changes during the design process, after the original geotechnical report and supplements were issued. Thus, some of the information contained in these documents may be out of date or not applicable with regard to the advertised project. Some of the changes include, but are not limited to: Project splits (for funding, ROW issues, etc.); alignment and grade changes; and changes due to environmental factors (sensitive areas, etc.).

The documents can be found at:

[GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION](#)

[LOGS OF BORING](#)

-5-

Submitted: Tue, 25-Jun-2013 08:40 MDT

Company: NORTHERN ROCKIES AGENCY

Contact: KIT

Question:

Can you tell me the size of the signs mounting on the cantilevers?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 26-Jun-2013 9:32 MDT

No dimensions are given, it is up to the contractor to supply signs capable of displaying two lines of text, 6 inches in height, with at least 20 characters per line.

-6-

Submitted: Tue, 25-Jun-2013 10:46 MDT

Company: Big Mountain Glass & Windows, LLc

Contact: Stephen Nix

Question:

Regarding the windows listed on plan page A1.0, I do not find any specifications other than they are to have thermally improved aluminum frames with Low-E performance glazing. Is there a finish or color available for the window frames and are there any other requirements to be met?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 25-Jun-2013 14:37 MDT

Provide glazing and windows according to the linked specifications. [GLAZING & ALUMINUM WINDOWS](#)

208 - SF 099 N OF VALIER

Clarification:

Submitted: Fri, 31-May-2013 9:00 MDT
Special Provision 12 - Aquatic Lands Protection Ordinance 90-A Permit is
modified as follows:

A. d) After the first sentence in the second paragraph add: If construction
will continue after the expiration date
above, notify the Project Manager prior to March 2, 2014.

-1-

Submitted: Tue, 11-Jun-2013 20:15 MDT
Company: Quarter Circle R fencing
Contact: Roddy Watson

Question:

Could the wetland areas be a separate bid item since it is a different design
than the wildlife fence with special
considerations on installation. It also is separated on the summary. What is
the footage of the fence to be removed
and is the salvage the landowner's or the contractor's? The same question
goes for the cattleguards too.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 14-Jun-2013 10:19 MST

1) Plan sheets 6-8 have been revised to help clarify the summary frame
headings and notes for the fencing bid
items. The revised sheets can be found at the following link: [PLAN SHEETS 6-
8](#) There is no change to quantities
or bid items.

2) Remove all existing fence in areas of new fence except as noted in the
special provisions. Bidders should field
verify existing fence locations.

3) All existing fencing and cattle guards belong to the landowners. In the
special provisions under 18.A(2) it
states "Carefully remove and stockpile existing fence neatly on landowner's
property" and under 20.A(2) it states
"Remove and neatly stockpile all cattle guard items on landowner's property".